**Abstract**

Subtalar and Chopart dislocations are extremely rare in childhood but become slightly more common in older children and adolescents. Subtalar dislocation involves dislocation of the subtalar and talonavicular joints, with intact tibiotalar and calcaneocuboid joints, in the absence of a talar neck fracture. It should be differentiated from the Chopart dislocation and from traumatic entities presenting radiographically as isolated talonavicular dislocations. Chopar joint injury involves the talonavicular and calcaneocuboid joints of the foot. The injury may appear as sprain, fracture, subluxation or dislocation. Diagnosis is made on plain radiographs; although initial views may not reveal the severity of the lesion, since spontaneous reduction may occur. The radiographic detection of an isolated talonavicular dislocation is probably indicative of a Chopart joint injury, in which a momentary subluxation or dislocation of the calcaneocuboid joint has occurred. The differential diagnosis of a radiographically detected isolated talonavicular dislocation should also include traumatic entities associated with intact calcaneocuboid joint, such as the swivel talonavicular dislocation and the isolated displacement of only the medial part of the Chopart joint. The swivel talonavicular dislocation is a subtype of the Chopart joint injury, in which the foot with the calcaneus is rotated beneath the talus, producing subtalar subluxation but not dislocation. In the isolated displacement of only the medial part of the Chopart joint the subtalar joint is not injured. The injury is usually associated with a fracture of the body of the tarsal navicular and it is believed to be the result of severe abduction or adduction of the forefoot.

Subtalar dislocations and Chopart joint injuries in children and adolescents seem to be comparable with their adult counterparts in the mechanism of injury, classification, treatment, complications and outcome. The challenges in treating these injuries are to achieve adequate diagnosis and prompt treatment. It appears mandatory that obtaining and maintaining an early anatomic reduction remains the key factor in achieving good outcomes. However, a high incidence of complications, such as compartment syndrome, soft tissue compromise, avascular necrosis of bone, bone growth deformities and debilitating early post-traumatic arthritis, have been reported.

The purpose of this report is to review the relevant publications on subtalar and Chopart dislocations in children and adolescents and to present illustrative cases treated at our institution.

**Review**

In the last decades, foot injuries in children and adolescents have presented an increase in prevalence and severity. A careful clinical evaluation is an indispensable prerequisite for the identification of subtalar dislocations and Chopart joint injuries. The plantar ecchymosis sign implies the potential for significant injury of the hind- or midfoot (Figure 1). Compartment syndrome must be ruled out through direct pressure measurements, particularly in unconscious patients. Significant malalignment may be overlooked without the plain standard anteroposterior, lateral and oblique
radiographs of the injured foot. Subsequently, additional imaging may also be required. Radiographs taken with the patient weight bearing are useful to diagnose a subtle or unstable injury; stress radiographs with the patient under anesthesia are also useful if there is clinical suspicion of injury despite unremarkable radiographs; computed tomography is valuable for assessing intraarticular osteochondral fragments, occult fractures, to access the congruency after closed reduction and to aid preoperative surgical planning, while magnetic resonance imaging is useful to detect ligamentous or osteochondral lesions and bone bruising. The treatment decision requires individual consideration and it is usually based on the full extent of the lesions, considering the number of affected foot regions (ankle, talus, calcaneus, Chopart joint, Lisfranc joint, mid- and forefoot), as well as on the degree of soft tissue injury (open or closed lesion). An early closed reduction under sedation, analgesia, and muscle relaxation should be attempted in closed injuries. Internal fixation may be maintained with percutaneous smooth pins in cases with instability. This technique is much simpler than external fixation for both the patient and surgeon. Open reduction may be required in closed cases with an incongruent deformity and in open lesions. The limb should be immobilized in a plaster splint and in a circumferential non-weight-bearing below-knee cast, as soon as edema subsides, for approximately 6 weeks to allow for soft tissue healing, after either closed or open treatment modalities. Strengthening exercises and progressive weight bearing as tolerated may follow for up to 3 months. Recently, shorter periods of immobilization have been advocated to avoid joint stiffness.21-24.

Subtalar dislocation defines the simultaneous dislocation of the subtalar (talocalcaneal) and talonavicular joints without associated injury of the tibiotalar (talocrural or ankle joint) or calcaneocuboid joints and without talar neck fracture. The lesion has been alternatively termed peritalar, subtalus, subastragalar, subastraga(l)oid, hindfoot and talocalcaneonavicular dislocation.

The peritalar anatomic structures include the distal tibia and fibula, calcaneus, navicular and cuboid as well as their articulations and their stabilizing ligaments. The subtalar, the talonavicular and the calcaneocuboid joints, function as a unit to invert and evert the foot. Peritalar subluxations or dislocations may involve one or more of the peritalar articulations. Therefore, apart from subtalar dislocations, tibiotalar, talonavicular, Chopart joint, Chopart joint/subtalar (all hindfoot joints) and total or complete talar (pantalar) dislocations may also be defined as peritalar. Isolated dislocation of a single peritalar joint is unusual. A radiographically isolated midtarsal, talonavicular or calcaneocuboid, dislocation is most probably associated with radiographically undetectable ligamentous disruption of the adjoining articulations of the hind- or midfoot and/or various fractures of the foot.

After DuFaurest and Judy reports in 1811, Broca, in 1853, and Malgaigne, in 1856, suggested a purely anatomic classification, describing in descending order of frequency, medial (79.5%), lateral (17%), posterior or plantar (2.5%) and anterior or dorsal (1%) subtalar dislocations. These types refer to the forces applied to a plantar-flexed foot either in inversion, resulting in medial dislocation, or eversion, resulting in lateral dislocation. The medial dislocation is sometimes referred to as an “acquired clubfoot” or “basketball foot”, while the lateral dislocation is sometimes referred to as an “acquired flatfoot”. The normal longitudinal axis of the foot is maintained and the deformity is much less pronounced in pure posterior or anterior dislocations. The mechanism of injury has been classified as direct or indirect. Direct injuries are usually high-energy injuries commonly due to motor vehicle accidents or falls from a height. Indirect injuries are usually low-energy injuries commonly due to sporting activities, such as a simple inversion injury of the foot while playing basketball. Lateral dislocations are usually due to higher-energy trauma and are often associated with open injuries, associated fractures, neurovascular compromise and poor results. The injury is very rare in childhood considering the greater elasticity of bone in children, while the open physeal plates of the distal tibia and fibula are believed to act as the path of least resistance, fracturing prior to the occurrence of a subtalar dislocation. The diagnosis is usually obvious on standard anteroposterior, lateral and
oblique views of the foot or ankle but it may be easily missed in the polytrauma patient. Postreduction radiographs are essential to confirm a stable anatomic reduction and to identify additional fractures. Subtalar dislocations are frequently associated with concomitant fractures, including intraarticular osteochondral fractures, occurring in 12-38% of medial and up to 100% of lateral dislocations. The radiographic detection of these fractures, most commonly of the medial and lateral tubercles of the posterior process of the talus, may indicate the potential diagnosis of a more subtle subtalar injury. The majority of closed subtalar dislocations in children and adolescents can be reduced in a closed fashion, as in adults. Indications for open reduction and possibly internal fixation with smooth Kirshner wires or Steinmann pins of a closed subtalar dislocation include residual subtalar or talonavicular instability, inability to achieve a congruent reduction, and the need for open treatment of associated intraarticular fractures. Open injuries require débridement, open reduction, and have the same indications for temporary joint or bone transfixion. Common blocks to reduction for medial dislocations include an interposed extensor retinaculum or extensor digitorum brevis and talonavicular impaction fractures, while for lateral dislocations an interposed posterior tibial, anterior tibial, peroneal, flexor hallucis or digitorum longus tendon, interposed joint capsules and obstructing fracture fragments. With prompt treatment and early mobilization, children and adolescents with closed, simple subtalar dislocations may expect a favorable long-term prognosis, with little to no residual disability. An impaction fracture of the talonavicular joint is the most consistent finding adversely affecting outcome in pediatric subtalar dislocations, according to Dimentberg and Rosman, since inadequate or lost reduction may be evident and remodeling is not expected. Delayed diagnosis, misdiagnosis, failure to reduce the dislocation promptly, irreducible dislocations, open dislocations, high-energy injuries, polytrauma, intraarticular fractures and prolonged immobilization are usually associated with a poor outcome.

The Chopart joint, also known as the transverse tarsal or midtarsal joint is formed by the articulations of the talus with the navicular (talocalcaneonavicular or commonly called talonavicular joint) and of the calcaneus with the cuboid (calcaneocuboid joint). The talonavicular joint is more vulnerable to injuries, as part of the more elastic medial column, while the calcaneocuboid joint, forming part of the rigid lateral column, is more stable due to its saddle shape, to the strong capsuloligamentous structures and to its close proximity to the peroneus longus tendon. Studies in adults have shown that, in the midtarsal joint injuries, compression fracture of a column is usually associated with instability or avulsion fracture in the contralateral column as well. The causes of midtarsal joint injuries are variable. They may be subdivided into the, most common, low-energy midtarsal subtle sprains or subluxations and the, relatively rare, high-energy Chopart fracture and/or dislocations. In adults, the incidence of Chopart sprains ranges up to one-third of patients experiencing lateral ankle sprains, due to a similar mechanism of trauma and comparable clinical symptoms, as a result of the proximity of the lateral collateral ankle ligaments and the lateral Chopart joint ligaments. The mechanism of injury has been classified as either direct or indirect. In direct injuries the force is applied directly to the Chopart joint, as with crush injuries, fall from a height, falling objects and traffic collisions, while indirect injuries result from a combination of twisting and axial-loading of the foot, as seen in sports-related trauma. Midtarsal joint injuries have been classified by Main and Jowett, in 1975, according to the direction of the deforming force applied to the midfoot at the time of injury. The classification scheme consists of five patterns of injury resulting from medial forces, longitudinal forces, lateral forces, plantar forces and crush injuries. Review of the crush midtarsal injury pattern is more appropriate as a fracture than a dislocation. Medial and dorsal displacement of the Chopart joint, occurring when the foot is plantar flexed and inverted, is the most typical type of dislocation. Dislocations with lateral displacement, occurring during eversion injuries, are normally the result of higher-energy trauma and have a worse prognosis due to the increased frequency of open injuries and associated fractures. Most patients with Chopart joint injury may suffer from radiographically undetectable concomitant injuries of the subtalar or Lisfranc joints as well as fractures of the hind- and midfoot.

The unstable painful midfoot deformity is usually apparent on the physical examination of the traumatized patient, but it may occasionally be masked by severe early swelling and ecchymosis. Diagnosis is usually based on the plain radiographs of the foot, although the extent of injury is often underestimated. Isolated fractures and/or dislocations of either the medial or the lateral part of the Chopart joint are extremely rare injuries. The calcaneocuboid dislocation may often be transient and radiographically undetectable. The calcaneocuboid injury is generally resulting in less displacement than at the talonavicular joint, but joint space widening may occasionally be radiographically evident instead. Impaction injuries as well as avulsion injuries of the Chopart joint-spanning ligaments may be easily overlooked on radiographs. Avulsion fractures across the Chopart joint, especially of the anterior calcaneal process and the dorsal talonavicular joint, have been well recognized as strong indication of Chopart joint injury and midtarsal sprain. Recent literature states the rate of misdiagnosis to be up to 55% in adults. Pediatric orthopaedic surgeons should be aware that a high index of clinical suspicion is required for early diagnosis. However, additional to radiographs imaging evaluation should be reserved only for patients in whom the clinical diagnosis is unclear or who have symptoms.
disproportionate to the radiographic findings. Emergent neurovascular complications of the foot should be excluded before attempting reduction. Treatment comprises early stable anatomic reduction of the injury. Surgical reduction and fixation is primarily indicated in Chopart joint injuries with a delayed diagnosis and interposed soft tissue or osseous structures. High-energy, open or severely displaced injuries, associated fractures, disability to diagnose the injury promptly or restore anatomic articular congruity and foot alignment worsen the outcome.

Two unique mechanisms of injury, the medial and lateral swivel dislocation, have been included in the classification of Chopart joint injuries proposed by Main and Jowett. They result in isolated talonavicular dislocation from medial or lateral directed force applied to the midfoot, respectively, without complete disruption of the talocalcaneal ligaments and with an intact calcaneocuboid joint. The injuries result from a type of tarsal rotation or swivel injury, without inversion or eversion of the foot. A medially directed force causes compression at the talonavicular joint with distraction at the calcaneocuboid joint. A laterally directed force causes compressive forces at the calcaneocuboid joint with distraction at the talonavicular joint. The lesions have also been defined as an uncommon special type of either medial or lateral Chopart joint stress injury. In addition, swivel dislocation has been presented as an uncommon variant of subtalar dislocation in which the talonavicular dislocation is associated with subluxation, but not dislocation, of the subtalar joint. The axis of rotation in swivel injuries is through the intact calcaneocuboid joint or the intact interosseus talocalcaneal ligament. The latter is in contrast with the more common occurrence in which this ligament ruptures, allowing subtalar dislocation.

The lesions may present with little clinical evidence of malalignment and they can be easily missed both clinically and radiographically. The isolated talonavicular joint, medial or lateral, incongruity may be the only detected radiographic joint abnormality in swivel injuries. The talonavicular joint injury may appear as total disruption or, more commonly, as partial subluxation. Subtalar subluxation may be radiographically evident due to the calcaneal rotation or swivel, on the intact interosseous talocalcaneal ligament in the sinus tarsi, beneath the talus, taking with it the cuboid. A wide variety of ankle or foot fractures have been recorded in the reported patients with swivel dislocations, which have all been detected in adults with a minimum age of 18 years.

A traumatic entity that may be easily confused with swivel dislocation is the rare isolated talonavicular dislocation associated with a displaced fracture of the body of the tarsal navicular, when no subtalar subluxation or dislocation and no injury to the calcaneocuboid joint have occurred. This injury is believed to be the result of severe abduction or adduction of the forefoot, rather than the previously described tarsal rotation or swivel injury. The isolated displacement, usually medial, of the talonavicular dislocation is the only detected radiographic abnormality involving the peritalar joints. Isolated talonavicular dislocations have been reported in adults in conjunction with a wide variety of ipsilateral foot fractures.

In conclusion, the differential diagnosis of an isolated talonavicular dislocation, especially when it is associated with a fractured body of the tarsal navicular, from a subtalar or a Chopart joint injury, including a swivel dislocation, cannot be solely based on the findings of the radiographic investigation (Figure 2). It may be prudent to consider...
that this traumatic entity should be primarily considered as an occult Chopart joint injury unless additional, to plain radiographs, imaging findings prove no injury to the calcaneocuboid articulation and ligaments, and no bone bruising of the adjacent subchondral bone.
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